My concern is that the cost is going to run out of control. That is why this amendment would place a cap on the amount of taxpayer funds that can be spent on that analysis of \$1 million, which is twice what CBO says should be spent on this bill.

Now, it is interesting how the other side has done a quick pivot. They said, oh, this bill is not going to cost much money. It is only \$500,000, and it is well worth it. But then when we have challenged that figure and said, all right, we will accept double the amount of CBO, but we think it is going to cost more, let us at least be sure that we limit it, they come around and say, oh, no, no, no. We cannot limit it because it may cost more.

Well, one of my colleagues said, what is good for the goose is good for the gander. Either it is going to cost \$500,000 or under a million or it is going to cost more. And if it is going to cost more, I think it is going to be wasteful.

I tried to pursue a minute ago with the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. RYAN) the idea that maybe we put the cap of a million dollars simply on the regulatory analysis and not on the corporate welfare side. But then the response was back that he did not want any cap at all.

Well, I want a cap for one reason. I want to protect the taxpayers from having their money wasted on analysis for no purpose.

This amendment is important to do now in this bill. We were told, let us work out another piece of legislation. Let us develop a relationship. We will talk about it in committee. We will talk about it after the bill passes.

Well, the leadership of our committee, which is controlled by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. Burton) and the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. MCINTOSH), have not given us a hearing on this. Mr. MCINTOSH said, oh, we cannot do this. We have not had a hearing. They are not willing to call a hearing on this idea of corporate welfare. We have had no hearings on the issue.

We were told when we had the mandates bill, we said, well, if you are going to mandate and require a separate vote in the House before there is a mandate, let us do that when it comes to protection of the environment. We were told, well, that is something that should be in another piece of legislation.

This amendment belongs in this bill. It would add balance to the bill. The bill as written requires analysis of the costs of Federal programs to regulated entities. The amendment would require OMB to also look at the benefits of Federal programs to corporations through various types of what we would call corporate welfare.

Each year the Federal Government gives out billions in subsidies to successful businesses in the form of preferential tax treatment, subsidized loans, grants, and the use of Federal land, assets and facilities at belowmarket costs.

Many might think that a Congress that has worked so hard to take people off welfare might also try to force successful corporations off welfare as well. But just the opposite is true.

Let us understand what is going on here. Last week this House, on a partisan vote, passed H.R. 2488. I consider it an irresponsible tax bill that does nothing to ensure the long-term solvency of Medicare and Social Security.

What it does do is disproportionately provide its tax benefits to the wealthy, to corporations, to businesses, not to ordinary people who pay taxes.

This tax bill was passed largely on party lines. It contains almost a hundred billion dollars in new direct tax breaks to businesses.

Now, many might want to keep this information secret about these tax breaks. But I think the public has a right to know who we are giving our money to.

The Congressional Research Service has determined that there is not a comprehensive list of subsidized industries. We do not know where all the Federal tax breaks are going to businesses. We do not know where all the grants and the other indirect subsidies are going.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from California (Mr. WAX-MAN) has expired.

(By unanimous consent, Mr. WAXMAN was allowed to proceed for 2 additional minutes.)

Mr. WAXMAN. Mr. Chairman, we know if the Hoeffel-Kucinich-Visclosky amendment were adopted it would cure this problem by requiring each year the Office of Management and Budget to identify Federal subsidies and disclose the costs and benefits of these subsidies.

Mr. Chairman, if the intent of this bill is to provide more information to the American people about the relationship between regulated entities and the Federal Government, this amendment will very much help accomplish that goal. There is no reason the American people should not be informed about how their tax dollars are being used to subsidize corporations.

I have heard this argument, what if the person or entity getting a subsidy is an individual business, therefore, you are going to presumably invade their privacy or make it too difficult to understand where the money by way of corporate subsidies actually goes?

Well, that is a sham. These corporate entities can be stated in the aggregate. They are topics. It is not a doctor's office. It is how much doctors get. It is not a subsidy to one corporation. It can be corporations in a particular enterprise. And in that way we will know how much of a benefit is being placed on these corporations when we ask them to clean up the environment and protect public health, when we ask them to come in and make sure their drugs are safe and effective and to get approved by the FDA.

We also ought to know, on the other hand, whether we give them subsidies that help them deal with that burden, as we do so often to corporations that take advantage of special tax breaks and special grants and special preferential treatments in the use of Federal assets

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. HOEFFEL).

The question was taken; and the Chairman announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. McINTOSH. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to House Resolution 258, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. HOEFFEL) will be postponed.

Mr. McINTOSH. Mr. Chairman, I

Mr. McINTOSH. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise. The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin) having assumed the chair, Mr. LAHOOD, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1074) to provide Governmentwide accounting of regulatory costs and benefits, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

#### RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 6 p.m.

Accordingly (at 4 o'clock and 45 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until approximately 6 p.m.

# □ 1801

## AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin) at 6 o'clock and 1 minute p.m.

# REGULATORY RIGHT-TO-KNOW ACT OF 1999

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 258 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 1074.

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1074) to provide Governmentwide accounting of regulatory costs and benefits, and for other purposes, with Mr. LAHOOD in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole House rose earlier today, a demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 1 printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD by the gentleman

from Pennsylvania (Mr. HOEFFEL) had been postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. HOEFFEL

The CHAIRMAN. The pending business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 1 offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. HOEFFEL) on which further proceedings were postponed, and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

#### RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIRMAN. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 192, noes 217, not voting 24, as follows:

[Roll No. 335]

## AYES—192

Abercrombie Hastings (FL) Hill (IN) Olver Ackerman Ortiz Allen Hilliard Owens Andrews Hinchey Pallone Pascrell Baird Hinojosa Baldacci Hoeffel Pastor Baldwin Holt Payne Hooley Pelosi Barcia Barrett (WI) Hoyer Phelps Becerra Inslee Pomerov Jackson (IL) Price (NC) Berkley Berman Jackson-Lee Rahall Bilbray (TX) Rangel Jefferson Blumenauer Reyes Boehlert Jones (OH) Rivers Bonior Kaniorski Rodriguez Borski Kaptur Roemer Boswell Kasich Rothman Brady (PA) Kennedy Roukema Roybal-Allard Brown (FL Kilpatrick Brown (OH) Royce Kind (WI) Rush Campbell Capps King (NY) Sabo Capuano Kleczka Sanchez Cardin Klink Sanders Kucinich Carson Clay LaFalce Saxton Clayton Lampson Schakowsky Clyburn Lantos Scott Convers Larson Serrano Costello Lazio Shays Coyne Leach Sherman Crowley Lee Sherwood Cummings Levin Skelton Davis (FL) Lewis (GA) Slaughter Smith (NJ) Davis (IL) Lipinski DeFazio Snyder Lowey DeGette Luther Spratt Delahunt Maloney (CT) Stabenow DeLauro Maloney (NY) Stark Strickland Deutsch Markey Dicks Stupak Mascara Dingell Thompson (CA) Matsui McCarthy (MO) Thompson (MS) Thurman Doggett McCarthy (NY) Dovle Engel McGovern Tierney Eshoo McKinney Traficant McNulty Udall (CO) Etheridge Meehan Meek (FL) Udall (NM) Evans Fattah Velazquez Meeks (NY) Filner Vento Menendez Foley Visclosky Forbes Millender Walsh Ford McDonald Waters Frank (MA) Miller, George Watt (NC) Franks (N.J) Minge Waxman Frelinghuysen Mink Weiner Weldon (PA) Moakley Frost Geidenson Moore Wexler Gephardt Moran (VA) Weygand Gilman Morella Wise Woolsey Nadler Gonzalez Napolitano Green (TX) Wu Gutierrez Hall (OH) Neal Wynn Obey

NOES—217

Pickering Aderholt Goodling Archer Goss Pickett Graham Armey Bachus Green (WI) Pombo Porter Baker Greenwood Portman Ballenger Barr Hall (TX) Quinn Barrett (NE) Radanovich Hansen Bartlett Hastings (WA) Ramstad Barton Hayes Regula Hayworth Reynolds Bass Bateman Hefley Riley Herger Hill (MT) Bentsen Rogan Bereuter Rogers Hilleary Rohrabacher Berry Biggert Hobson Ros-Lehtinen Bilirakis Hoekstra Ryan (WI) Ryun (KS) Bishop Holden Blilev Horn Salmon Hostettler Blunt Sandlin Boehner Houghton Sanford Scarborough Bonilla Hulshof Bono Hutchinson Schaffer Boucher Hyde Sensenbrenner Isakson Bovd Sessions Brady (TX) Is tookShadegg Bryant Jenkins. Shaw Shimkus John Burr Johnson (CT) Burton Shows Buyer Johnson, Sam Shuster Callahan Jones (NC) Simpson Calvert Kelly Kingston Knollenberg Camp Skeen Canady Smith (MI) Castle Kolbe Smith (TX) Kuykendall Chabot Smith (WA) LaHood Chambliss Souder Clement Largent Spence Coble Latham Stearns Collins LaTourette Stenholm Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Combest Stump Sununu Condit. Cook Linder Sweeney Cooksey LoBiondo Talent Tancredo Cramer Lofgren Cunningham Lucas (KY) Tanner Danner Davis (VA) Lucas (OK) Tauscher Manzullo Tauzin McCrery Taylor (MS) Deal Terry Thomas Del av McHugh DeMint McInnis Diaz-Balart McIntosh Thornberry Dickey McIntyre Thune Tiahrt Dooley McKeon Doolittle Metcalf Toomey Dreier Mica Miller (FL) Towns Duncan Turner Dunn Miller, Gary Upton Edwards Mollohan Vitter Ehlers Moran (KS) Walden Emerson Myrick Wamp English Nethercutt Watkins Watts (OK) Everett Ney Ewing Northup Weldon (FL) Weller Fletcher Norwood Whitfield Fowler Nussle Gallegly Ose Wicker Wilson Gekas Oxlev Gibbons Packard Wolf Gilchrest Paul Young (AK) Gillmor Pease Young (FL) Peterson (MN) Goode Goodlatte Petri

## NOT VOTING-24

Blagojevich Ehrlich Martinez Cannon Farr McCollum Chenoweth Fossella McDermott Coburn Ganske Murtha Cox Gordon Oberstar Peterson (PA) Crane Granger Cubin Hunter Pryce (OH) Johnson, E. B. Dixon Taylor (NC)

#### □ 1825

Mr. BOYD changed his vote from 'aye' to "no."

Ms. ESHOO changed her vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. FOSSELLA. Mr. Chairman, on rollcall No. 335, I was unable to get here to vote due

to inclement weather in the metro New York City area. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) having assumed the chair, Mr. LAHOOD, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1074) to provide Governmentwide accounting of regulatory costs and benefits, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 258, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute adopted by the Committee of the Whole? If not, the question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

## RECORDED VOTE

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 254, noes 157, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 336] AYES—254

Aderholt Burr Diaz-Balart Archer Burton Dickey Armey Buyer Dooley Bachus Callahan Doolittle Baker Dovle Calvert Ballenger Camp Dreier Campbell Barr Duncan Barrett (NE) Dunn Canady Bartlett Cannon Edwards Barton Castle Ehlers Chabot Emerson Bass Bateman Chambliss English Bentsen Clayton Etheridge Clement Everett Bereuter Ewing Fletcher Berry Coble Collins Biggert Bilirakis Combest Foley Bishop Condit Ford Bliley Cook Fowler Cooksey Blunt Franks (NJ) Boehner Frelinghuysen Cox Bonilla Cramer Gallegly Bono Cunningham Gekas Boswell Danner Davis (VA) Gibbons Boucher Gillmor Boyd Deal Goode Brady (TX) DeLay DeMint Goodlatte Bryant Goodling

McCrery Graham McHugh Green (WI) McInnis Greenwood McIntosh Gutknecht McIntvre Hall (TX) McKeon Hansen Metcalf Hastings (WA) Mica Miller (FL) Hayes Hayworth Miller, Gary Hefley Moore Herger Moran (KS) Hill (IN) Moran (VA) Hill (MT) Myrick Hilleary Napolitano Hobson Nethercutt Ney Northup Hoekstra Holden Horn Norwood Hostettler Nussle Houghton Hulshof Oxley Hutchinson Packard Paul Hyde Isakson Pease Peterson (MN) Istook Jefferson Jenkins Pickering Pickett John Johnson (CT) Johnson Sam Pombo Jones (NC) Pomerov Kanjorski Porter Kaptur Portman Kasich Price (NC) Kelly Kind (WI) Quinn Radanovich King (NY) Ramstad Kingston Regula Knollenberg Revnolds Kolbe Riley Kuykendall Roemer LaHood Rogan Largent Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Latham LaTourette Lazio Roukema Leach Royce Lewis (CA) Ryan (WI) Lewis (KY) Ryun (KS) Linder Salmon Sanchez LoBiondo Sandlin Lucas (KY) Lucas (OK) Sanford Scarborough Luther Manzullo Schaffer McCarthy (MO) Sensenbrenner

Sessions Shadegg Shaw Shays Sherwood Shimkus Shows Shuster Simpson Sisisky Skeen Skelton Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Souder Spence Spratt Stearns Stenholm Stump Sununu Sweeney Talent Tancredo Tanner Tauscher Tauzin Taylor (MS) Terry Thomas Thornberry Thune Thurman Tiahrt Toomev Towns Traficant Turner Upton Vitter Walden Walsh Wamp Watkins Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Weller Weygand Whitfield Wicker

Wilson

Young (AK) Young (FL)

Wolf

Phelps Rahall

## NOES-157

Abercrombie Doggett Engel Eshoo Levin Ackerman Allen Lewis (GA) Lipinski Andrews Evans Baird Fattah Lofgren Baldacci Filner Lowey Forbes Frank (MA) Baldwin Maloney (CT) Maloney (NY) Barcia Barrett (WI) Frost Markey Becerra Gejdenson Mascara Berkley Gephardt Matsui Gilchrest McCarthy (NY) Berman Bilbray Gilman McGovern Blumenauer Gonzalez McKinnev Boehlert Green (TX) McNulty Bonior Gutierrez Meehan Hall (OH) Meek (FL) Borski Meeks (NY) Brady (PA) Hastings (FL) Brown (FL) Hilliard Menendez Brown (OH) Hinchev Millender-Hinojosa McDonald Capps Capuano Hoeffel Miller, George Cardin Holt Minge Hooley Mink Moakley Carson Clav Hover Clyburn Mollohan Inslee Conyers Jackson (IL) Morella Costello Jackson-Lee Nadler Coyne (TX) Neal Jones (OH) Crowley Obey Olver Cummings Kennedy Davis (FL) Kildee Ortiz Kilpatrick Kleczka Davis (IL) Owens DeFazio Pallone DeGette Klink Pascrell Kucinich LaFalce Delahunt Pastor DeLauro Payne Pelosi Deutsch Lampson

Lantos

Larson

Dicks

Dingell

Udall (NM) Serrano Rangel Reyes Sherman Velazquez Rivers Slaughter Vento Visclosky Rodriguez Smith (WA) Rothman Waters Snyder Watt (NC) Roybal-Allard Stabenow Rush Stark Waxman Strickland Sabo Weiner Sanders Stupak Wexler Thompson (CA) Wise Sawver Woolsey Thompson (MS) Saxton Tierney Udall (CO) Schakowsky Wii Wynn Scott NOT VOTING-22 Fossella Blagojevich Chenoweth

McDermott Ganske Murtha Coburn Gordon Oberstar Peterson (PA) Granger Hunter Pryce (OH) Johnson, E. B. Taylor (NC) Ehrlich Martinez McCollum

#### □ 1843

Mr. STUPAK changed his vote from ''aye' to "no.

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Crane

Cubin

Dixon

Mr. FOSSELLA. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 336, I was unable to get to vote due to inclement weather in the metro New York City area. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes".

Stated against: Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall numbers 335 and 336, was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "no" on each rollcall vote.

#### □ 1845

PROGRESS TOWARD REPORT ON **ACHIEVING BENCHMARKS** ΙN BOSNIA-MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 106-104)

The SPEAKER pro tempore PEASE) laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and the Committee on Appropriations, and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

As required by section 7 of Public Law 105-174, the 1998 Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions Act, transmit herewith a 6-month periodic report on progress made toward achieving benchmarks for a sustainable peace process.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, July 23, 1999.

REPORTS ON NATIONAL TRAFFIC AND MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY ACT OF 1966, HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLE IN-FORMATION AND COST SAVINGS 1972—MESSAGE ACT OF FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States: which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objec-

tion, referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the Committee on Commerce:

To the Congress of the United States:

I transmit herewith the 1996 calendar year reports as prepared by the Department of Transportation on activities under the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966, the Highway Safety Act, and the Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act of 1972, as amended.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, July 26, 1999.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PRO-VIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2587, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000

Mr. LINDER, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 106-263) on the resolution (H. Res. 260) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2587) making appropriations for the government of the District of Columbia and other activities chargeable in whole or in part against revenues of said District for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

ON RESOLUTION PRO-REPORT VIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2605, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000

Mr. LINDER, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 106-264) on the resolution (H. Res. 261) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2605) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

#### FAIRNESS FOR VETERANS

(Mr. FILNER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, colleagues I rise today in support of the action taken earlier today by Vice President AL GORE on behalf of our Nation's veterans. The Vice President has announced that the administration will seek an additional \$1 billion fully paid for to ensure our Nation can continue to provide quality and timely health care for our veterans.

America's veterans and many Members of Congress have been speaking out loudly in the past months for an increase in the veterans budget for fiscal year 2000. I am pleased and proud that the administration has heard our call.

The Vice President's action is a vital step toward keeping the promise that was made to our veterans when they